

ENWIN response to One Call 2020 by-law and fee schedule proposals

A	Proposal	Weighted voting by category (ie. Utility, municipality, etc.) to be changed to proportional representation from winner takes all within category
	Response	No opposition.
B	Proposal	Additional Board seat to be created and assigned to an Excavator.
	Response	Reject Proposal. Unnecessary additional expense. Stated reason in webinar was that this more closely mimic boards in other jurisdictions, not an acceptable reason to add more burden to the paying Members.
C	Proposal	Voting on fee schedule changes: voting change to simple majority from super majority
	Response	One Call Proposal is Unacceptable. In the webinar One Call stated that the Top 20 Members of the 800 Members in the province account for 2/3 of all locate performed in the province. That also means that the Top 20 Members are also responsible for 2/3 of the funding that One Call receives. Counter Proposal: Fee changes must be approved by a super majority (66% plus 1) of both the Top 20 Members <u>and</u> a supermajority of the remaining Members. Both groups must vote in favour (super majority) for fee changes to pass, failure to obtain a pass in either group means proposal fails.
D	Proposal	Participation in ORCGA (Ontario Regional Common Ground Alliance) DIRT (Damage Information Reporting Tool) will be mandatory for One Call Members. However, in webinar they said it was only the Top 20 Members that would be mandatory, not spelled out in proposed changes.
	Response	Reject Proposal. Regardless if it is the Top 20 Members or all Members, reporting data to the ORCGA is an unnecessary burden and expense to Members.
E	Proposal	New Compliance Committee to be created, made up of professional arbitrators and mediators
	Response	Reject Proposal. Unnecessary additional cost to One Call system. In webinar they admitted that there have only been two cases brought to the old compliance committee.
F	Proposal	Change to fee structure; change from Member assessment being proportional to total tickets province wide, to a proportional system with additional and automatic penalties.
	Response	One Call Proposal is Unacceptable. Current fee structure does not require modification. Cost predictability and transparency is needed. A complex and variable costing structure impacting our cost for locate provision is not acceptable.
G	Member Suggestion	We need to expand the group of Members to include Excavators. Excavator Members will be assessed a proportion of the annual One Call operating budget on the same basis as Asset Owner Members are. A bylaw amendment will be required barring Excavators to work with locates held by a homeowner. Excavators should be a partner in maintaining the One Call system.

The proposal items not addressed above can be described as housekeeping and wording updates. ENWIN does not oppose these proposals.

Roger Bastiaan
System Control Supervisor, ENWIN Utilities, Ltd.
October 14, 2020